ISV AussieSkate Judging

Driven by ISA's stated desire to bring the judging of AussieSkate in line with IJS principles, Ice Skating Victoria have adopted a judging method which does just that.

This new system is the development idea of Kim Wilson with contributing discussion from senior ISA and ISU judges.
Note: - There is no suggestion to change any elements of any divisions and all events will strictly adhered to the current AussieSkate divisions and requirements.

Scoring:
The basic scoring utilises three marks, using IJS principles, rather than the two using 6.0 that are traditionally used. These three marks are:
1. Skating Skills
2. Technical Elements (one mark assigned for all elements as per current 6.0), and
3. Presentation

All marks are scored out of 5 with 0.25 increments. To assist the judges, the ranges are broadly:
- 0-1, very weak
- 1-2, weak
- 2-3, average
- 3-4, good
- 4-5, very good

These definitions are in line with both IJS and 6.0 guidelines.

Further information:
- Tied scores - ISV have determined that any tied score will be broken by the Skating Skills mark as this is considered fundamental to all skating. This means the athlete with the higher Skating Skills score will be awarded the higher place, however, if the Skating Skills mark is also tied, the placement will be considered a genuine tie.
  - At this time we are unable to have the protocols reflect this so they will reflect the same score for the tied skaters. It is the job of the event referee to identify the tie and determine if it can be broken.
- All scores are factored to 1.0 however this can be changed should ISA determine they want to reward a particular score or promote a particular discipline.
- Falls are assigned a deduction of 0.5 from the total score.
- Elements included from a higher level, and identified by the panel, attract a deduction of 0.5 from the total score.
- Note: - ISV are investigating the possibility of applying a 0.25 deduction for omitted elements. This may have to be coded into the IJS so, at this time, judges would make an adjustment to their Technical Mark if the panel agreed an element had been omitted.

Judges' marking:
- This is completed on paper, adopted from the current 6.0 paperwork, and utilised by officials to ensure elements are completed.
- When the IJS hardware is utilised, judges then enter their three scores into the IJS screens.
- If the event is too small to justify the logistics of setting up the IJS, judges will hand marks to an Accountant who will manually enter the marks into the ISUCalcFS computer.

Officials' roles:
- 1x Referee and 2-3x judges as per previous 6.0 events. Referee can also be Judge 1 so the panel could consists of 3 officials.
- Technical Specialist - identifying elements specific to the division. TS alerts referee to illegal elements so deductions can be made.
  - An experienced Referee can also act as TS
- Accountant - precisely the same role as in IJS events.

Paper based:
- This whole system can be run as a paper based operation if the event only has the ISUCalcFS computer.
- Judges forward completed paperwork to an Accountant who will manually enter the data.
  - It is good practice to have someone assisting the Accountant in this task to lessen the chance of erroneous data entry.